

D1-062 Young Greens Constitution 2019/2020

Proposer: Isaac Searle (Stratford-on-Avon District Green Party)

Motion Text

From line 61 to 63:

3.3 A minor change to the constitution and standing orders, for the purpose of the editing power that is held by ~~SPC~~DAC, is defined as one where the meaning of a clause, or outcome of a clause, has not been altered (although it may be

From line 77 to 79:

5.2 C&D will be constituted of a permanent representative from Structures and Procedures Committee (hereby known as the ~~SPC~~DAC Rep), who will chair the group, as well as four volunteers from the Young Greens membership, to make five total

From line 83 to 85:

volunteers step forward who are Liberation Group Co-Chairs. Where there are too many volunteers, selection will be made by ~~SPC~~DAC; candidates may be put on a reserve list that would be used when other members are recused (see 2.). Where

From line 90 to 92:

5.3. C&D should have 5 participating members for every case dealt with. A reserve list of members may be created by ~~SPC~~DAC in order to ensure that this is possible, as members may be taking leave of absence. It may also be that members

From line 94 to 98:

professional relations, amicable or otherwise, with those involved in a case. Applications for recusal may be made by members of C&D, ~~SPC~~DAC, EC any Young Green directly involved within a case, and are submitted to ~~SPC~~DAC, and may be done so by or on the behalf of a member of C&D. A majority vote from ~~SPC determines~~DAC determines if a member is recused. If the SPC Rep is recused, C&D is to vote on an acting Chair

From line 131 to 133:

either or both complainants. In cases where there is a need for disciplinary action, to ~~SPC or~~DAC or another relevant body where appropriate. These disciplinary actions may include, but are not limited to:

From line 142 to 147:

5.12 If the recommended action(s) following a judgment are not followed by the involved parties, C&D should make recommendations of action to the EC or ~~SPC~~DAC.

5.13 If an individual; wishes to appeal a judgment made by C&D, they may do so by communicating to ~~SPC~~DAC. The grounds for an appeal are if new evidence emerges, or if C&D broke procedure. ~~SPC should~~DAC should look over the evidence presented by the appellant and may make a ruling on whether the appeals process should be

From line 153 to 155:

and a need for confidentiality and fair treatment must be considered. C&D are to submit reports of each case to ~~SPC~~DAC. ~~SPC will~~DAC will then include a general, anonymous summary of these in their annual report.

From line 167 to 168:

5.19 Extensions to these deadlines should be sought from ~~SPC~~inDACin extenuating circumstances

From line 182 to 184:

the YG RoPS by a simple majority vote of the Executive Committee, with the consent of ~~SPC~~DAC. All statements added between Conventions are to be reported to the following Young Greens Annual General Meeting by SPC and recorded in SPC

From line 188 to 196:

the YG RoPS by a simple majority vote of the Executive Committee, with the consent of ~~SPC~~DAC. All statements removed between Conventions are to be reported to the following Young Greens Annual General Meeting by ~~SPC~~DAC and recorded in ~~SPC~~DAC monthly reports.

6.5 After five years of a statement being entered into the YG RoPS, it is considered to be in grace as recorded by ~~SPC~~DAC. This means that it will be reported to be in grace to the following Young Greens Annual General Meeting by ~~SPC~~DAC, who will give Convention the chance to vote on whether it should stay in the YG RoPS, which requires a simple majority. This restarts the five-year

From line 207 to 210:

motion submitted to Convention. Alternatively, statements may be submitted to the YG RoOS by a simple majority vote of the EC, with the consent of ~~SPC~~DAC. All statements added between Conventions are to be reported to the following Young Greens Annual General Meeting by ~~SPC~~DAC and recorded in ~~SPC~~DAC monthly reports.

From line 212 to 215:

motion submitted to Convention. Alternatively, statements may be removed from the YG RoOS by a simple majority vote of the EC, with the consent of ~~SPC~~DAC. All statements removed between Conventions are to be reported to the following Young Greens Annual General Meeting by ~~SPC~~andDACand recorded in ~~SPC~~DAC monthly reports.

From line 274 to 276:

to be published on the members' website. A quarterly online question and answer session hosted by ~~SPC~~DAC should follow and be promoted to all members with a call for questions.

From line 283 to 285:

Byelaw 2: The ~~Structures~~Democracy and ~~Procedures~~Accountability Committee

2.1 There shall be a ~~Structures~~Democracy and ~~Procedures~~Accountability Committee (hereafter known as "the ~~Structures~~Democracy and ~~Procedures~~Accountability Committee" or "~~SP~~DAC") which shall consist of:

From line 287 to 292:

2.1.2 The chair shall be selected at the first meeting of ~~SPC~~DAC after the Annual Ballot.

2.1.3 The position of chair may also be taken as a job-share between two members of ~~SPC~~DAC who will then be referred to as co-chairs.

2.1.4 If the chair or co-chairs resigns, ~~SPC~~DAC shall select a new chair or co- chairs at their next available meeting.

In line 295:

2.3 The aim and responsibility of the ~~Structures~~Democracy and ~~Procedures~~Accountability Committee is to:

From line 308 to 313:

2.4 The Chair of ~~the Structures and Procedures Committee~~DAC has the right to attend all meetings of the Executive Committee, but not vote, as a representative of ~~the Structures and Procedures Committee~~DAC and should be consulted on major decisions.

2.5 The ~~Structures~~Democracy and ~~Procedures~~Accountability Committee shall provide a monthly report to the membership, to be published on the members' website, and an end-of-year

From line 316 to 317:

2.6 ~~SPC~~DAC members shall individually provide a six-month progress report to the membership and take part in a face-to-face accountability session with members

From line 368 to 370:

4.8 The election should be organised by said Liberation group using a system of Single Transferable Vote, for which advice can be sought from ~~SPC~~DAC, to ensure that the rules in 4.7 are satisfied.

Reason

More accessible name.

Supporters

Hannah Clare (Brighton and Hove); Annie Prins (Solihull); Thomas Hazell (Oxfordshire)